UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/572,733	01/12/2007	Hiroaki Yamamoto	020357 097P2	6898
	7590 07/16/200 ESSLER & VANDERE	EXAMINER		
6055 ROCKSIDE WOODS BOULEVARD SUITE 200 CLEVELAND, OH 44131			HEITBRINK, JILL LYNNE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1791	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/572,733	YAMAMOTO, HIROAKI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jill L. Heitbrink	1791		

	Jill L. Heitbrink	1791	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover shee	t with the correspondence ad	dress
THE REPLY FILED <u>09 July 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION	ON FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a replies: (1) an amendme eal (with appeal fee) in c	a Notice of Appeal. To avoid aba ent, affidavit, or other evidence, ompliance with 37 CFR 41.31;	which places the or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the d ater than SIX MONTHS fro b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b)	m the mailing date of the final reject	tion.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the correspond hortened statutory period t	ling amount of the fee. The approp or reply originally set in the final Off	riate extension fee fice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 4	1.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better	nsideration and/or searc w);	h (see NOTE below);	
appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		•	
<ul> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) </li> </ul>			
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-16. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections u	nder appeal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the cla	ims after entry is below or attac	hed.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	does NOT place the a	oplication in condition for allowa	nce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(	s)	
	/Jill L. Heitbrir Primary Exam	nk/ iner, Art Unit 1791	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment does not provide anticedent basis for "said female member and said male member". Removal of the reference to "a tangent line that touches said forward boundary" raises new issues as to the location of the rearward boundary.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Yamamoto moves that mold members at a normal angle from the part line 150. However, applicant moves the mold members at a normal angle to the part line PL4 and PL6. The angular movement is the same as defined in the claims as stated in the final rejection. Applicant argues that there is no indication in Hirose that one of the mold members should be moved relative to the other at an angle of 1 to 20 degrees relative to the Y direction in a mold cavity. However, the Y axis does not have any point of reference other than being drawn at an angle to the slopped bottom wall and mold withdrawal direction. This Y axis can be drawn in that direction in Hirose since it is only a reference line.